## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Otis Morris Defendant	Case No. 1:11-mj-00622-ESC
	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Fir	ndings of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described i	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3° which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon  C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed w or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presun person or the community. I further find that defendan	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another at has not rebutted that presumption.
Alternativ	e Findings (A)
✓ (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s.	
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
<ul> <li>(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance and</li> </ul>	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
	e Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	
	the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
<ol> <li>Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to con</li> <li>Defendant has been remanded to custody following revoca</li> </ol>	ntest detention at this time. tion of his bond in Case No. 1:11-cr-00149-PLM and would not be

## Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

3. Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the court's attention should his circumstances change.

released in any case.

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	May 31, 2011	Judge's Signature: /s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title: Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	